Unto God be the glory,

Father, Son, and Holy Spirit. Amen.

Sermons in August

have offered me an opportunity to indulge myself.

Too indulge myself
by drawing your attention
to significant moments,
or persons,
in Church history.

This morning..., it's the turn of the 19th century Danish philosopher, Søren Kierkegaard.

Of the year of his birth,

Kierkegaard wrote,

with his characteristic

combination of wit

and melancholy

(and I quote):

"I was born in 1813, the year in which so many another bad note was put into circulation" (end quote). (Journals and Papers)

It was a year of national bankruptcy in Denmark.

*

His life was outwardly uneventful.

But for a couple of journeys to Berlin, he confined himself in Denmark – indeed, almost entirely in Copenhagen, the city of his birth.

I must forego telling you about

- his complex relationship with his father,
- his intense and tragic love affair with Regine Olsen,
- his complicated connection with the national Church,
- and his prolific and profound literary output.

Instead,

I restrict myself to Kierkegaard's <u>defence</u> of the <u>gospel</u>.

You've no doubt heard
of the Enlightenment —
the late 18th/
early 19th Century
cultural revolution
in Europe
and North America.

Politically, it was
dramatically represented
by the French and American
revolutions –
violently rejecting
monarchy
and the old regimes.

Philosophically
and religiously,
it was marked by
suspicion, and often
outright rejection of
- traditional Christianity,
- orthodox theology,
- and the established Church –
whether Rome, Canterbury,
Geneva, or Wittenberg.

*

```
The German philosopher,
             Immanuel Kant,
                    says it best,
      in a short article
          published in 1784,
                 with the title -
          "What is Enlightenment?"
                         (and I quote):
"Enlightenment
   is humanity's emergence
      from a self-induced childhood.
"To be a [child],
   is to be incapable
      of using one's understanding
                 without being directed
                               by another....
"The time has come
          to cast aside
             the apron strings
                 of traditional political
                    and religious institutions.
"Sapere aude!
"Think for yourself...
             is the motto
                 of the [Enlightenment]".
                               (end quote)
           (I. Kant, What is Enlightenment? [1784])
                      *****
Kierkegaard was born
          into this world of
      radical new beginnings.
His upbringing
      and education,
   together with
          his Christian faith,
                 compelled him
                     to take seriously
                        the implications
                           of Kant's challenge.
Is it still possible
   to be a Christian.
      to believe in Jesus Christ?
Or, is Christianity
   one of those childish things
                 to be jettisoned
      for the sake of
          the new-found
             maturity, freedom,
```

and creativity of the Enlightenment?

Kierkegaard identified 3 current responses to those questions.

In a variety of guises, they continue to be the major responses of the Christian church to modernity's challenges.

The first,
is the moralism
of Immanuel Kant.

Kant stripped Christianity
of everything theological
and supernatural,
reducing it to ethics.

Jesus is an inspiring moral example.

As the embodiment
of universal moral values,
Jesus alerts us
to those same values
written on our hearts
and consciences,
and inspires us
to live by them.

*

The problem is...,
once we've got hold
of those moral values,
we don't really need
Jesus anymore!

Bishop Spong,
Donald Crossan,
and Marcus Borg,
are recent representatives

of this moralistic reduction of the Christian faith.

Kant's younger contemporary, Hegel, represents a very different attempt to develop an enlightened Christianity. We must no longer think of God - as transcendent, - as other than we are, - as <u>outside</u> of creation and history. Instead, everything is in God, and God is in everything. Creation and history are a comprehensive process within which God is coming to his own full self-consciousness. The modern age, marks the final stage the consummation of the whole process. Hegel saw Jesus as the key to unlocking the whole thing. What the church had hitherto taught to be unique to Jesus namely, the union of divine and human natures in his person – has become universal. Emmanuel, 'God with us' the name given

> by the angel to the infant Jesus –

is no longer restricted to Jesus.

It's open to all of us,

- God with us,
- God in us,
- God *is* us -

identified absolutely
with us in our history,
in our becoming
from now on.

*

But again -

as with Kantian moralism – once we've unlocked

the secret –

Jesus becomes supernumerary, except as a kind of

cypher, symbol, visual aid!

New-age spiritualities,
the religious speculations
of Carl Jung,
the writings of Tom Harpur
and Karen Armstrong,
are modern variations
on this Hegelian vision.

The third option
that Kierkegaard discerns,
was formulated
by Hegel's contemporary –
Friedrich Schleiermacher.

Schleiermacher
is to theology,
what Keats, Wordsworth,
and the other romantics
are to poetry.

For him,

- religion is
 - deeper than language,
 - deeper than thought,
 - deeper than morality.

It is, most profoundly, an inner experience of the heart.

Through our feelings, we touch the divine.

Authentic

religious experience, Schleiermacher

famously described as

(and I quote)

"...the feeling of absolute dependence". (F. Schleiermacher, *The Christian Faith*, 132)

The beauty of nature, intimate friendship,

music,
Christmas eve worship,
and so much else,
can induce the feeling.

Jesus possessed it to a supreme degree.

Schleiermacher called him the "virtuoso" of religious feeling.

Attending to Jesus –

Jesus released from

the mythological,

doctrinal accretions

of earlier ages -

- miracles,
- death on the cross (Schleiermacher believed Jesus merely swooned),
- and the resurrection -

we can be inspired to share something of his exalted religious experience.

*

It's hard to identify particular modern representatives, because Schleiermacher's influence on modern, western Christianity is so all-pervasive.

Kierkegaard
was convinced
none of these options
does justice to the gospel.

Each of them, in spite of appearances, is a profound and subtle evasion of:

- Jesus Christ,

- and the God of the Bible.

One of Kierkegaard's works –
Fear and Trembling –
is an extended study
of the story of Abraham
and God's command
that he sacrifice
his son, Isaac.

We're invited to see
that our moralistic
reduction of Christianity –
á la Kant –
only succeeds in
domesticating God.

Reduced to our
enlightened standards
of right and wrong,
the altogether
more disturbing
God of the Bible
is rendered obsolete.

A domesticated God, a God refashioned in our own image, is no God at all!

The challenge
for Kierkegaard
was how to recover
the God of the Bible

and the gospel of Jesus Christ, without setting himself up as a proponent of yet another version of Christianity that has no more claim to our allegiance than any of the others.

As children of the Enlightenment, are we merely left with a potentially infinite number of more or less adequate versions of the gospel, with no objective criteria for preferring one over the others?

The question of authority, counterfeit or real, is in Kierkegaard's own words -(and I quote)

"the most important ethico-religious concept", and, as such, "the central problem of my life and work". (end quote)

(Dru, "Introduction" to The Present Age, 22)

Is Kant's conscience, or Hegel's intellect, or Schleiermacher's feeling and experience, the final arbiter for each of us?

And is it finally up to each of us where we settle?

How do we hear the God of the gospel?

How do we testify to that God so that others <u>hear</u> –
not ourselves –
but that God
of the gospel?

How do we live in allegiance to the living Lord Jesus Christ?

Must we settle
for God on <u>our</u> terms,
or can <u>we</u> be placed
so that God has us
on God's terms?

These are Kierkegaard's questions.

*

And that's his genius: —

to have examined

and found wanting

the various enlightened

options on offer,

and to have identified

and raised the questions

that need to be asked.

*

And he never pretended to have the answer!

Kierkegaard was critical of contemporary preachers.

Too often,
they translated the gospel –
rendering it acceptable,
attractive, relevant –
so that their listeners
could assent to it
on their own terms.

"Parsons' trash"
he called it: —
the scandal and offense
of the crucified Christ,

replaced with an innocuous, counterfeit gospel.

*

Neither an apostle,
nor a pastor,
nor a preacher —
without authority —
Kierkegaard sought
to carve out space
in the maelstrom
of enlightened modernity, —
space where men and women
might hear again
the transcendent,
demanding,
and paradoxical word
of the crucified Christ.

The Word that calls –
not for discussion
and interpretation –
but for penitent surrender
and faith.

St Paul says it best, and with this I conclude.

Has not God made foolish
the wisdom of the world?...

Jews demand signs
and Greeks desire wisdom,
but we proclaim Christ crucified,
a stumbling block to Jews
and foolishness to Gentiles,
but to those who are the called,
both Jews and Gentiles,
Christ, the power of God,
and the wisdom of God.

For God's foolishness
is wiser than human wisdom,
and God's weakness
is stronger than human strength."
(1 Cor 1.20, 22-25; cf., Jer 9.23f.)

*

To him be the glory, forever and ever. Amen. (Rm 11.36)